Document 1

Filed 07/0<u>3</u>/2008

Page 1 of 9

Case 3:08-cv-01194-H-LSP

**VENUE** 

5. Venue is proper in the Southern District of California pursuant to 28 *U.S.C.* § 1391(b), in that the acts or omissions on which the claim is based, or a substantial part thereof, occurred in such District, and, further, in that the property which is the subject of the claim is located in such District.

**PARTIES** 

- 6. At all times relevant hereto, Plaintiff owned and did business as "Congrove Construction," and had valid Class B (General Building Contractor) and Class C-8 (Concrete) licenses issued by the California Contractors State License Board to him under such business name.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon that basis alleges, that Defendant is, and at all times relevant hereto was, the owner or reputed owner of certain improved real property located at 6502 East Highway 78, Brawley, Imperial County, CA 92227, Assessor's Parcel No. 739-330-002 (the "Property"), on which Defendant operates an open pit mine known as Western Mesquite Mine.

**GENERAL ALLEGATIONS** 

8. On or about August 8, 2007, Plaintiff (under the name "Congrove Construction") entered into an agreement (the "Agreement") with Defendant pertaining to a construction project on the Property. The general terms of the Agreement were memorialized in a document titled "Management Representative Agreement Between Western Mesquite Mine and Congrove Construction," which was executed on behalf of Plaintiff and Defendant. Under the Agreement, Congrove Construction was to provide management services to Defendant for a period of up to six months in connection with a construction project on the Property and was to act as Defendant's management representative to monitor the subcontractors for compliance with the Defendant's instructions, plans, and specification; Defendant was to furnish Congrove Construction with, among other things, all engineering designs, all plans, and five complete sets

28

1

2

3

4

5

of drawings for each for the improvements; and Defendant was to be compensated for its services by payment to it of the following sums: \$230,000, of which \$46,000 was for mobilization costs; plus 3% of the project budget (which was initially scheduled for \$4,000,000, but was subsequently increased to \$4,630,000 - which the parties agreed at the time of the increase was a more accurate figure and would be the amount from which savings would be based); plus 50% of any cost savings for the project achieved for the project.

- 9. On or about August 19, 2007, Congrove Construction commenced its services at the Property.
- 10. Plaintiff has performed all conditions and covenants required of Congrove Construction under the terms of the Agreement, except for those conditions and/or covenants. if any, which were precluded or otherwise excused due to Defendant's material breach of contract and/or due to other lawful excuse.
- Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon that basis alleges, that Congrove 11. Construction achieved cost savings for the construction project in the amount of approximately \$701,536.56.
- 12. On October 26, 2007, Defendant unilaterally terminated the services of Congrove Construction for the construction project without proper cause.
- Defendant paid Plaintiff a total of \$91,000, for the mobilization cost and services 13. of Congrove Construction.
- 14. Defendant has failed to pay any additional monies owing under the Agreement, despite demand therefor.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## [Breach of Contract]

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates, by reference, Paragraphs 1 through 14, of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. Defendant has materially breached the Agreement by failing to provide complete plans in a timely manner, by wrongfully terminating Congrove Construction's services on the

17. As a direct and foreseeable result of Defendant's material breach of the Agreement, Plaintiff has been damaged in the principal amount estimated to be \$576,086.72, plus prejudgment interest thereon.

## SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

#### [Unjust Enrichment]

- 18. Plaintiff incorporates, by reference, Paragraphs 1 through 17, of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 19. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon that basis alleges, that by reason of the foregoing, Defendant has been unjustly enriched in the principal amount estimated to be \$576,086.72, plus prejudgment interest thereon.

#### THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

## [Common Count for Services Rendered]

- 20. Plaintiff incorporates, by reference, Paragraphs 1 through 7, of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 21. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon that basis alleges, that there is due and owing by Defendant to Plaintiff, the principal sum of \$576,086.72, plus pre-judgment interest thereon, for services rendered by Plaintiff to Defendant at the request of Defendant.
- 22. No part of the above amount has been paid, despite demand therefor, and Plaintiff is informed and believes, and upon that basis alleges, that there remains due and owing by Defendant to Plaintiff, the principal sum of \$576,086.72, plus pre-judgment interest thereon.

## FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

# [Foreclosure of Mechanic's Lien]

23. Plaintiff incorporates, by reference, Paragraphs 1 through 14, inclusive, of this

1

٠2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

## ON THE SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- For the principal sum of \$576,086.72; and 1.
- 2. Prejudgment interest thereon.

## ON THE THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- For the principal sum of \$576,086.72; and 1.
- Prejudgment interest thereon. 2.

Congrove/Complaint 7/2008

23

24

25

26

27

28

#### ON THE FOURTH CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 1. That the Mechanic's Lien be foreclosed, and that judgment be made for the sale of the Property; that the proceeds of the sale be applied in payment of the principal amount owing to Plaintiff on the Mechanic's Lien, plus interest thereon at the legal rate; and that Defendant be barred and foreclosed from all rights, claims, interests, or equity of redemption in the Property and every part of the Property when the time for redemption has passed; and
- 2. That Plaintiff at his election be permitted to credit bid the amount owing to him and become a purchaser at the foreclosure sale; and
- 3. If there is a deficiency of proceeds to satisfy the amount owing to Plaintiff, that judgment for the deficiency be entered against Defendant.

#### ON ALL CLAIMS FOR RELIEF

- 1. For Plaintiff's reasonable costs of suit incurred herein.
- 2. For such other and/or further relief as is just and proper.

Dated: July 2, 2008

ROBERT PETROKOFSKY

Attorney for Plaintiff, Don Congrove

dba Congrove Construction

#### **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

Plaintiff demands trial by jury as provided by Rule 38(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Dated: July 2, 2008

Attorney for Plaintiff, Don Congrove dba Congrove Construction

Congrove/Complaint 7/2008

Document 1

Filed 07/03/2008

Page 8 of 9

**S**JS 44 (Rev. 12/07)

## **CIVIL COVER SHEET**



The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

the civil docket sheet. (SEE IN	ISTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)	od oddies in ocptomber 1974, is requ	red, tot, tite, use, of the Clerk of	Court for the purpose of findating
I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS	<del>- Lata is it</del>	
• •	CONGROVE CONSTRUCTION		WESTERN MESQUITE MINES, INC.	
			2000 1111 222 1	MILLIC
• •	of First Listed Plaintiff Yuma	County of Residence o		imperial6
3)	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	NOTE: IN LANI	(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES OF CONDEMNATION GASES, US D CONDEMNATION GASES, US D CONDEMNATION GASES, US D CONDEMNATION GASES, US D CONDENNATION GASES (USA)	ONLY) SE THE LOCATION OF THE
		LAND	08 CV 119	1 H LSP
	, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known)	BY	DEPUTY
Robert Petrokofsky, Atto Newport Beach, CA 926	orney at Law, 895 Dove Street, Third   360; (714) 384-6542		of Ho/ton, Knox, Cart eet, El Centro, CA 922	ter & Foote, LLP, 509
II. BASIS OF JURISI		III. CITIZENSHIP OF P		
1 U.S. Government	3 Federal Question	(For Diversity Cases Only)		and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF
Plaintiff	(U.S. Government Not a Party)	Citizen of This State		incipal Place 🔲 4 🗇 4
D 2 U.S. Government Defendant	2 4 Diversity	Citizen of Another State		
Defendant	(Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		of Business In A	
		Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	3	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only)  GONERAGY  GONERAGY  GONERAGY  TORKIS STREETHER BERNELDER BERNELDE				
☐ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJUR		☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 120 Marine	☐ 310 Airplane ☐ 362 Personal Injury	- 5 620 Other Food & Drug	☐ 423 Withdrawal	☐ 410 Antitrust
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Med. Malpractic Liability ☐ 365 Personal Injury		28 USC 157	☐ 430 Banks and Banking ☐ 450 Commerce
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Product Liability	/ 🗇 630 Liquor Laws	BEST PROPERTY RIGHTS SERVER	☐ 460 Deportation
& Enforcement of Judgment  151 Medicare Act			820 Copyrights	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Injury Product Liability Liability	☐ 650 Airline Regs. ☐ 660 Occupational	830 Patent 840 Trademark	Corrupt Organizations  480 Consumer Credit
Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine PERSONAL PROPER	TY Safety/Health		☐ 490 Cable/Sat TV
(Excl. Veterans)  153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product     370 Other Fraud     Liability     371 Truth in Lending	690 Other	LEUSOGIALESEGURITAYAN MARI	810 Selective Service 850 Securities/Commodities/
of Veteran's Benefits	350 Motor Vehicle 380 Other Personal	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards	☐ 861 HIA (1395ff)	Exchange
160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Property Damage		3 862 Black Lung (923)	☐ 875 Customer Challenge
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	Product Liability 385 Property Damage 360 Other Personal Product Liability		☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI	12 USC 3410 890 Other Statutory Actions
☐ 196 Franchise	Injury Injury	& Disclosure Act	☐ 865 RSI (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts
	GIVILSRIGHTIS WAS LERISON ERREPTIETO		ENPEDERALITAXISUITSES	
<ul> <li>210 Land Condemnation</li> <li>220 Foreclosure</li> </ul>	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 510 Motions to Vacat	te 790 Other Labor Litigation 791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	893 Environmental Matters 894 Energy Allocation Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	443 Housing/ Habeas Corpus:	Security Act	☐ 871 IRS—Third Party	895 Freedom of Information
240 Torts to Land	Accommodations		26 USC 7609	Act
<ul> <li>245 Tort Product Liability.</li> <li>290 All Other Real Property</li> </ul>	☐ 444 Welfare ☐ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - ☐ 540 Mandamus & Ot	her 462 Naturalization Application		900Appeal of Fee Determination     Under Equal Access
	Employment 🔲 550 Civil Rights	463 Habeas Corpus -	• .	to Justice
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - 555 Prison Condition Other	Alien Detainee  465 Other Immigration		<ul> <li>950 Constitutionality of State Statutes</li> </ul>
	440 Other Civil Rights	Actions		State Statutes
	an "X" in One Box Only) emoved from	☐ 4 Reinstated or ☐ 5 Transf	ferred from 6 Multidistr	Appeal to District
	ate Court Appellate Court	Reopened anothe	er district Litigation	Modistrate
VI CAUGE OF ACTO	Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you a	re filing (Do not cite jurisdictions	il statutes unless diversity):	
VI. CAUSE OF ACTI	Brief description of cause: Breach of Written Contract; Con	nmon Count; Unjust Enric	hment; Foreclosure of	Mechanic's Lien
VII. REQUESTED IN		N DEMAND\$	CHECK YES only	if demanded in complaint:
COMPLAINT:	UNDER F.R.C.P. 23	<del></del>	JURY DEMAND:	☐ Yes ☐ No
VIII. RELATED CAS IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions): JUDGE		DOCKET NUMBER	
DATE	SIGNATURE OBAT	TTOBMEY OF RECORD	<del>-</del>	
07/02/2008	Ke D	15		
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY				
RECEIPT # 152619 A	MOUNT \$350 APPLYING IFP	JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE

JAC 7/3/08

#### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

# 152619 - TC

July 03, 2008 11:08:06

#### Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO # .: 08CV1191

Judge..: MARILYN L HUFF

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK

Check#.: BC3741

\$350.00 Total->

FROM: CONGROVE, DON DBA CONGROVE

CONSTRUCTION VS.

WESTERN MESQUITE MINES